

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,343	02/04/2002		Nigel Peter Smith	85116	5889
75	90	07/15/2003			
Welsh & Katz			EXAMINER		
120 South Riverside Plaza 22nd Floor				RAMANA, ANURADHA	
Chicago, IL 60606-3913			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3732	<u> </u>
				DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	I.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/030,343	SMITH ET AL.					
navicely neutrin	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Anu Ramana	3732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 03 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applications to the same of t	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dahave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment					
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Second		sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 2-4, 6-14 and 21.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	M1					
10. Other:	SUPERVI	KEVIN SHAVER 7/15/03 SORY PATENT EXAMINER					
	TECHI	NOLOGY CENTER 3700					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 110/030,343 Application No.



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claim 21 (Paper No. 8) has created a lack of antecedent basis for "said pumping action" in claims 2-4. Further, it is unclear what structure is being referred to by the term "flow directing means" in line 11 of claim 21.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the 112 rejections of Paper No. 7 have not been overcome.